



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/620,755

07/15/2003

Ragulan Sinnarajah

030275

8985

23696 7590 05/23/2007  
QUALCOMM INCORPORATED  
5775 MOREHOUSE DR.  
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121

EXAMINER

MEHRPOUR, NAGHMEH

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2617

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/23/2007

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com  
kaskanla@qualcomm.com  
nanm@qualcomm.com

<p align="center"><b>Office Action Summary</b></p>	<p><b>Application No.</b></p> <p align="center">10/620,755</p>	<p><b>Applicant(s)</b></p> <p align="center">SINNARAJAH ET AL.</p>	
	<p><b>Examiner</b></p> <p align="center">Naghmeh Mehrpour</p>	<p><b>Art Unit</b></p> <p align="center">2617</p>	

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 February 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-72 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-72 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                       | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

**DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. **Claims 1-3, 8, 11-12, 16, 19-20, 26, 33, 38, 44, 47-48, 52, 55-57, 65-66, 67, 70,** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kinnavy (US patent Number 7,047,005).

Regarding claims 1, 11, 19, 37, 47, 55, 65, Kinnavy teaches method for providing short-slot-cycle paging information to a base station (BS)(col 6 lines 17-28), comprising:

a receiver capable of receiving information from a communication device (CD) (col 2 lines 55-66, see figure 3);

a transmitter capable of transmitting information to the CD (col 2 lines 55-56, see figure 3); and

a processor 344 capable of carrying out a method for providing short-slot-cycle paging (col 2 lines 5-30, see figure 3), the method comprising:

indicating to the CD that the BS is capable of short-slot-cycle paging (col 2 lines 5-30);

receiving information from the CD, indicating that the CD is also capable for short-slot-cycle paging (col 2 lines 5-30, see figure 3); and

paging the CD based on the received information (see figure 3, col 2 lines 55-67, col 3 lines 1-3).

Regarding claims 2, 12, 20, 33, 38, 48, 56, 66, Kinnavy inherently teaches a method of claim 1, further including setting a negative slot-cycle-index value for said short-slot-cycle paging (col 3 lines 3-35).

Regarding claims 3, 21, 31, 39, 49, 57, 67, Kinnavy inherently teaches a wherein the negative slot-cycle-index value includes one of "-1," "-2," "-3," or "-4" (col 4 lines 39-67).

Regarding claims 8, 16, 26, 34, 44, 52, 62, 70, Kinnavy inherently teaches a method of claim 7, further including setting a desired slot cycle duration in a SLOT-CYCLE-INDEX field (col 2 lines 5-30, col 4 lines 5-39).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. **Claims 6, 9-10, 17-18, 24, 27-28, 35-36, 45-46, 53-54, 63-64, 71-72,** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kinnavy (US patent Number 7,047,005).

Regarding claims 6, 24, 42, 60, Kinnavy does not specifically mention a method of claim 1, wherein said determining includes examining whether AUTO\_MSG\_SUPPORTED field is set to "1". However the Examiner takes official notice that designing different field and setting different number is a programmer choice. Therefore, it would have been obvious to ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the above teaching of with Kinnavy, in order to provide reduction of over head and caused by location update and to enable efficient paging.

Regarding claims 7, 15, 25, 33, 43, 51, 61, 69, Kinnavy does not specifically mention that a method of claim 1, wherein said indicating includes setting WLL\_INCL to "1" in one of registration message, origination message, or page response message. However the Examiner takes official notice that designing different field and setting

different number is a programmer choice. Therefore, it would have been obvious to ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the above teaching of with Kinnavy, in order to provide reduction of over head and caused by location update and to enable efficient paging.

Regarding claims 9, 17, 27, 35, 45, 53, 63, 71, Kinnavy does not specifically mention a method of claim 7, further including setting a desired slot cycle duration in a WLL-DEVICE-TYPE field (col 7 lines 1-60). However the Examiner takes official notice that designing different field and setting different number is a programmer choice. Therefore, it would have been obvious to ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the above teaching of with Kinnavy, in order to provide reduction of over head and caused by location update and to enable efficient paging.

Regarding claims 10, 18, 28, 36, 46, 54, 64, 72, Kinnavy does not specifically mention that a method of claim 1, wherein said indicating includes setting a SLOT-CYCLE-INDEX with a most significant bit of "1" in one of registration message, origination message, or page response message (col 7 lines 1-59). However the Examiner takes official notice that designing different field and setting different number is a programmer choice. Therefore, it would have been obvious to ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the above teaching of with Kinnavy, in order to provide reduction of over head and caused by location update and to enable efficient paging.

Art Unit: 2617

4. **Claims 4-5, 13-14, 22-23, 31-32, 40-41, 58-59, 68,** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kinnavy (US patent Number 7,047,005) in view of Zhang et al. (US Publication 2004/0179492 A1).

Regarding claims 4, 13, 22, 31, 40, 50, 58, Kinnavy fails to teach a method of claim 1, wherein said determining includes examining system parameter messages including extended system parameter messages (ESPM). However, Zhang teaches determining includes examining system parameter messages including extended system parameter messages (ESPM) (0314). Therefore, it would have been obvious to ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the above teaching of Zhang with Zhang, in order to provide reduction of over head and caused by location update and to enable efficient paging.

Regarding claims 5, 14, 23, 32, 41, 59, 68, Kinnavy fails to teach a method of claim 1, wherein said determining includes examining system parameter messages including ANSI-41 system parameter messages (A41SPM). However, Zhang a method of claim 1, wherein said determining includes examining system parameter messages including ANSI-41 system parameter messages (A41SPM) (0320). Therefore, it would have been obvious to ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the above teaching of Zhang with Zhang, in order to provide reduction of over head and caused by location update and to enable efficient paging. Kinnavy modified by Zhang does not specifically mention a method of claim 1, wherein said determining includes

Art Unit: 2617

examining whether AUTO\_MSG\_SUPPORTED field is set to "1". However the Examiner takes official notice that designing different field and setting different number is a programmer choice. Therefore, it would have been obvious to ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the above teaching of with Kinnavy modified by Zhang, in order to provide reduction of over head and caused by location update and to enable efficient paging.

### ***Response to Arguments***

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-72 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### **Conclusion**

6. **Any responses to this action should be mailed to:**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Naghmeh Mehrpour whose telephone number is 571-272-7913. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00- 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold be reached (571) 272-7905.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.



Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NM

May 15, 2007



NASHMEH MEHRPOUR  
PRIMARY EXAMINER